

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on November 3, 2005, in which claims 2-10, 12-17 and 19-32 were considered. Claims 2-10, 12-17 and 19-29 were allowed, for which the Applicant is grateful. Claims 30-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smeenge et al. (USP 4,616,798) in view of Martin (USP 5,655,743).

With this Amendment, claims 30-32 have been canceled without prejudice, thereby rendering moot their rejection. Claims 2-10, 12-17 and 19-29 are left in the case, all of which have been allowed. A Notice to that effect is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.

Date: _____

12/15/05

By: _____



Alan M. Koenck, Reg. No. 43,724
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING
312 South Third Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1002
Telephone: (612) 339-1863
Fax: (612) 339-6580

AMK